



REACH BRIEFING

Date: 01 November 2019

REACH Consulting Services (RCS) and the OrgDev Institute (ODI) collaborated with Throwing Words, LLC of Charlotte, NC to study convergent validity associated with the REACH Profile. Specifically, the scales (styles, dimensions and skills) reported by the REACH Profile were analyzed for correlation with the scales (domains and strengths) reported within the StrengthsFinder assessment published by Gallup, Inc.

- ODI gathered responses from 114 participants who had completed the REACH Profile after having completed the StrengthsFinder assessment during previous training and coaching events. Results from the StrengthsFinder assessment were gathered and provided by Throwing Words, LLC.
- The REACH Profile is designed to convey a psychometric assessment of behavioral preferences and tendencies generally aligned within four distinct profiles: Counselor, Coach, Driver and Advisor. These profiles emerge from the interaction of two domains and their respective dimensions: Relating Style and Achieving Style. In addition to the styles and dimensions, the REACH Profile elicits self-ratings based on 16 skills that are aligned within the REACH framework.
- Of particular value in demonstrating construct validity is the correlation between reported scales across two professionally validated assessments. As mentioned above, the REACH framework addresses both dimensions and skills within distinct style domains: Relating Style and Achieving Style. Similarly, the StrengthsFinder assessment addresses strengths within four distinct domains: Executing, Influencing, Relationship Building and Strategic Thinking. The following table reveals correlation coefficients between the major domains of the REACH Profile and StrengthsFinder (n=114).

	REACH Domain		
StrengthsFinder Domain	Relating Style	Achieving Style	
Executing	19*	13	
Influencing	.27**	.17^	
Relationship Building	.15	18^	
Strategic Thinking	17^	.16^	
Confidence Levels: ^=.909	%; *=.95%; **=.99	9%	

- The REACH Profile assigns a profile label based on the interaction of participants' scores within the Relating Style and Achieving Style domains. This profile label is plotted in one of four quadrants on a 2x2 grid. Generally, participants scoring highest in the StrengthsFinder:
 - Executing domain tended to prefer the Advisor Profile
 - Influencing domain tended to prefer the Coach Profile
 - Relationship Building domain tended to prefer the Counselor Profile
 - Strategic Thinking domain tended to prefer the Driver Profile

• As mentioned above, the StrengthsFinder assessment reports a number of individual strengths that are aligned within four domains. The following tables reveals correlation coefficients between these strengths and the Relating Style and Achieving Style domains of the REACH Profile.

StrengthsFinder	REACH Domain				
Domain/Strength	Relating Style	Achieving Style			
Domain: Executing					
Achiever	10	.13			
Arranger	.15	.12			
Belief	.06	.08			
Consistency	06	60**			
Deliberative	57**	39**			
Discipline	07	43**			
Focus	05	.02			
Responsibility	06	.02			
Restorative	21*	24*			
Domain: Influencing					
Activator	.04	.43**			
Command	40**	.43**			
Communication	.49**	.10			
Competition	15	.02			
Maximizer	.03	.02			
Self-Assurance	22*	.33**			
Significance	09	.04			
Woo	.51**	.07			
Domain: Relationship Build	ing				
Adaptability	.17	09			
Connectedness	.16	.03			
Developer	.21*	14			
Empathy	.25*	22*			
Harmony	02	47**			
Includer	.28**	05			
Individualization	15	.17^			
Positivity	.42**	.22*			
Relator	26**	.01			
Domain: Strategic Thinking	J				
Analytical	32**	07			
Context	05	06			
Futuristic	22*	.16^			
Ideation	06	.33**			
Input	04	02			
Intellection	16	09			
Learner	17^	.10			
Strategic	.03	.31**			
Confidence Levels: ^=.90%	%; *=.95%; **=.99	9%			

Based on the findings reported above, it appears 19 of the 34 strengths exhibited association with either or both of the REACH Profile's style domains.

• While the REACH Profile's style domains convey broad measures of behavioral tendencies, each domain is further developed via specific dimensions. The five Relating Dimensions were examined for correlation with the strengths as reported in the table below.

Characteristics of a second	REACH Profile Relating Dimensions					
Strengths Finder	A ((!!!!!	C!- !	0	Status	Self-	
Domain/Strength	Affiliation	Consideration	Openness	Motivation	protection	
Domain: Executing	1.1	10	0.5	07**	00	
Achiever	11	10	.05	27**	09	
Arranger	.25**	.01	.10	.02	.07	
Belief	.20*	.03	09	.16	09	
Consistency	23*	.08	12	.22*	18^	
Deliberative	27**	46**	57**	.10	32**	
Discipline	15	01	07	.00	02	
Focus	.04	13	03	17	02	
Responsibility	15	05	08	.11	11	
Restorative	25*	07	19^	10	19^	
Domain: Influencing						
Activator	.09	01	.15	.14	.10	
Command	09	45**	36**	.01	19^	
Communication	.32**	.37**	.55**	01	.26*	
Competition	05	14	.01	38**	17	
Maximizer	.13	07	.08	07	.03	
Self-Assurance	03	30**	15	07	01	
Significance	.04	09	.02	22*	15	
Woo	.41**	.41**	.44**	11	.10	
Domain: Relationship	Building					
Adaptability	04	.24*	.05	.24*	02	
Connectedness	.21*	.22*	.01	.14	.20*	
Developer	.01	.24*	.10	.33**	.04	
Empathy	09	.43**	.08	.19^	.09	
Harmony	14	.06	08	.21^	03	
Includer	.21*	.25*	.16	.06	.14	
Individualization	.02	15	04	14	.04	
Positivity	.11	.26*	.47**	.15	.22*	
Relator	11	29**	24*	.14	12	
Domain: Strategic Th		.27	• 2 1	• • • •	, 1 2	
Analytical	04	32**	20^	19^	.01	
Context	04	03	04	.01	.04	
Futuristic	14	19^	20*	27**	09	
Ideation	.02	05	20	27*	04	
Input	09	03 .01	07 12	27 02	.07	
Intellection	09 18^	.01 18^	12 18^	02 08	.07	
				00 28**		
Learner	.07 .02	22* .04	07		.21*	
Strategic			01	13	.04	
Confidence Levels: ^	=.90%; ==.9	3%; "=.99%				

Based on the findings reported above, it appears 23 of the 34 strengths exhibited association with one or more of the Relating Dimensions.

• The five Achieving Dimensions were examined for correlation with the strengths as reported in the table below.

StrengthsFinder	REACH Profile Achieving Dimensions Finder Risk Decision					
Domain/Strength	Intensity	Assertiveness	Tolerance	Adaptability	making	
Domain: Executing	,			. ,	•	
Achiever	.36**	.12	.10	.02	22*	
Arranger	.12	.09	.04	.11	.20*	
Belief	.06	.05	.04	06	.01	
Consistency	23*	35**	49**	63**	34**	
Deliberative	12	28**	32**	44**	34**	
Discipline	.09	28**	44**	39**	35**	
Focus	.33**	.07	05	.02	30**	
Responsibility	.19*	01	.03	07	26**	
Restorative	.02	23*	14	24*	23*	
Domain: Influencing	l					
Activator	13	.43**	.33**	.20^	.43**	
Command	.08	.39**	.42**	.25*	.05	
Communication	20^	.28**	06	.08	.21*	
Competition	.24*	.03	.00	02	04	
Maximizer	.06	09	.10	.15	.05	
Self-Assurance	.12	.14	.33**	.24*	.12	
Significance	.28**	.01	02	.09	08	
Woo	14	.09	.10	.17	.21^	
Domain: Relationshi						
Adaptability	45**	05	.01	10	.28**	
Connectedness	14	05	.02	.04	.32**	
Developer	11	17^	06	08	01	
Empathy	33**	29**	11	07	.08	
Harmony	10	39**	38**	41**	27*	
Includer	13	09	00	.04	.10	
Individualization	.01	.10	.11	.22*	.14	
Positivity	10	.29**	.24*	.14	.29**	
Relator	09	.00	04	06	.02	
Domain: Strategic T	hinking					
Analytical	.19^	03	12	17	40**	
Context	.11	08	08	10	00	
Futuristic	.21*	.08	.22*	.13	.01	
Ideation	05	.21*	.25*	.41**	.14	
Input	05	.02	05	.10	.01	
Intellection	.11	16	01	.05	13	
Learner	.32**	09	.09	.14	03	
Strategic	.01	.20^	.26**	.30**	.27**	
Confidence Levels:	^=.90%; *=	.95%; **=.99%				

Based on the findings reported above, it appears 25 of the 34 strengths exhibited association with one or more of the Achieving Dimensions.

• As mentioned previously, the REACH Profile assigns a profile label based on the interaction of participants' scores within the Relating Style and Achieving Style domains. The table below reveals the percentage of participants within each profile according to the strengths listed as one of their top five strengths (as conveyed in the StrengthsFinder reporting). For example, 66.7% of participants listing Deliberative as one of their top five strengths were plotted within the Advisor profile quadrant on the 2x2 grid.

StrengthsFinder	REACH Profile Quadrant					
Domain/Strength	Advisor	Coach	Counselor	Driver		
Domain: Executing						
Achiever	20.0%	27.5%	32.5%	20.0%		
Arranger	10.3%	31.0%	31.0%	27.6%		
Belief	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	20.0%		
Consistency *	46.2%	0.0%	46.2%	7.7%		
Deliberative *	66.7%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%		
Discipline *	45.5%	9.1%	45.5%	0.0%		
Focus	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%		
Responsibility *	11.4%	20.5%	45.5%	22.7%		
Restorative	35.3%	11.8%	29.4%	23.5%		
Domain: Influencing						
Activator *	0.0%	71.4%	0.0%	28.6%		
Command	0.0%	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%		
Communication **	0.0%	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%		
Competition	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		
Maximizer	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%	7.1%		
Self-Assurance	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%		
Significance	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		
Woo *	7.7%	53.8%	38.5%	0.0%		
Domain: Relationship Buil	ding					
Adaptability	9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		
Connectedness	26.7%	20.0%	33.3%	20.0%		
Developer	25.0%	25.0%	43.8%	6.3%		
Empathy	21.7%	26.1%	43.5%	8.7%		
Harmony **	29.2%	8.3%	58.3%	4.2%		
Includer	10.0%	30.0%	60.0%	0.0%		
Individualization	26.3%	21.1%	26.3%	26.3%		
Positivity **	7.1%	50.0%	32.1%	10.7%		
Relator	27.0%	16.2%	32.4%	24.3%		
Domain: Strategic Thinkin	ng					
Analytical	38.9%	22.2%	16.7%	22.2%		
Context	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		
Futuristic	15.4%	30.8%	15.4%	38.5%		
Ideation	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%		
Input	31.8%	18.2%	36.4%	13.6%		
Intellection	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%		
Learner	23.5%	32.4%	23.5%	20.6%		
Strategic **	3.3%	46.7%	23.3%	26.7%		
Confidence Levels: ^=.90%; *=.95%; **=.99%						

• The following table reveals the comparative rankings for each strength as indicated by participants within the REACH profile quadrants. For purposes of interpreting the data below, the highest rank would be "34" and the lowest rank would be "1". A higher rank indicates the participant placed greater emphasis on the strength, with the highest ranks being associated with the top five strengths.

StrengthsFinder	REACH Profile Quadrant				
Domain/Strength	Advisor	Coach	Counselor	Driver	
Domain: Executing	Advisor	Coucii	Cooliscio	Dilvei	
Achiever	23.42	25.57	25.00	25.71	
Arranger	22.46	25.48	24.97	25.14	
Belief	20.43	20.69	21.50	21.62	
Consistency **	22.63	13.61	23.21	12.94	
Deliberative **	23.68	5.86	12.35	15.53	
Discipline **	23.19	14.37	21.46	15.00	
Focus	18.61	17.23	18.25	17.65	
Responsibility	24.79	25.65	27.39	27.81	
Restorative *	22.42	13.71	20.03	18.06	
Domain: Influencing	22.42	10.71	20.00	10.00	
Activator **	16.35	21.82	12.42	20.63	
Command **	11.81	12.70	8.14	20.30	
Communication **	10.87	23.23	20.16	13.38	
Competition	14.86	14.78	13.21	14.12	
Maximizer	21.32	21.41	19.69	20.50	
Self-Assurance **	16.71	18.17	15.76	21.57	
Significance	16.71	15.77	14.72	16.53	
Woo **	8.53	19.96	17.52	8.64	
		17.70	17.52	0.04	
Domain: Relationship Bui	15.14	10 22	18.91	14.59	
Adaptability		18.33			
Connectedness	19.57 20.92	20.65	21.06	19.13	
Developer		20.96	23.24	19.05	
Empathy ^	21.58	21.00	22.09	14.76	
Harmony **	24.39	17.20	23.37	11.64	
Includer *	15.88	19.26	20.84	14.50	
Individualization ^	23.43	22.72	19.00	23.44	
Positivity **	17.26	26.72	22.56	20.18	
Relator *	27.04	22.90	24.74	27.43	
Domain: Strategic Thinkir	-	10.01	1004	00.05	
Analytical *	24.70	19.91	18.94	20.85	
Context	15.13	12.00	13.41	12.94	
Futuristic **	17.36	17.41	17.76	23.75	
Ideation *	16.53	18.11	13.61	21.32	
Input	22.95	20.79	20.21	21.16	
Intellection	21.24	16.58	17.63	19.06	
Learner	27.00	24.75	21.81	24.14	
Strategic **	17.12	25.21	19.90	25.29	
Confidence Levels: ^=.90)%; *=.95%; *	*=.99%			

• In addition to the style domains and their respective dimensions, the REACH Profile reports 16 specific skills based on participants' self-ratings. These skills are aligned within the four profiles conveyed via the REACH framework. The skills reported within the Counseling Cluster were evaluated for correlation with strengths, as indicated in the following table.

	REACH Profile Counseling Skills					
	Assimilating Cultivating Identifying Recognizing					
StrengthsFinder	team	team	personal	others'		
Domain/Strength	members	spirit	needs	efforts		
Domain: Executing	0.5	02	10	07		
Achiever	05	03	10	06		
Arranger	.05	.10	.05	.13		
Belief	.05	.04	01	.15		
Consistency	.00	22*	04	06		
Deliberative	25*	39**	50**	24*		
Discipline	06	.05	08	.11		
Focus	19^	07	19^	08		
Responsibility	07	10	06	.10		
Restorative	12	17	09	10		
Domain: Influencing						
Activator	.12	.08	.03	.03		
Command	18^	.00	31**	18^		
Communication	.25*	.32**	.39**	.21*		
Competition	21^	08	10	22*		
Maximizer	.01	.06	.06	02		
Self-Assurance	15	09	26**	10		
Significance	34**	13	18^	14		
Woo	.31**	.45**	.45**	.15		
Domain: Relationship						
Adaptability	.13	.18^	.21*	.00		
Connectedness	.17^	.30**	.22*	.15		
Developer	.06	.14	.20*	.12		
Empathy	.09	.16	.36**	.14		
Harmony	02	01	03	03		
Includer	.25*	.24*	.24*	.01		
Individualization	12	24*	08	07		
Positivity	.33*	.35**	.41**	.33**		
Relator	.09	16^	15	03		
		10/\	10	05		
Domain: Strategic Thi	-	27**	40**	1 /		
Analytical	08			16		
Context	03	.06	07	.01		
Futuristic	32**	44**	30**	35**		
Ideation	19^	10	06	14		
Input	.10	04	05	.03		
Intellection	.01	16	16	.03		
Learner	22*	23*	21*	25*		
Strategic	03	01	.03	18^		
Confidence Levels: ^=.90%; *=.95%; **=.99%						

• The skills reported within the Coaching Cluster were evaluated for correlation with strengths, as indicated in the following table.

	REACH Profile Coaching Skills					
StrengthsFinder	Building	Easing	Finding	Rallying		
Domain/Strength	Rapport	Tensions	Synergy	Others		
Domain: Executing						
Achiever	02	14	03	08		
Arranger	01	.11	01	.06		
Belief	07	.09	.01	.04		
Consistency	27**	18^	40**	29**		
Deliberative	38**	24*	19^	29**		
Discipline	20^	12	19^	04		
Focus	08	06	03	09		
Responsibility	.02	.02	04	11		
Restorative	26*	19^	38**	32**		
Domain: Influencing						
Activator	.20^	.02	.04	.08		
Command	17^	01	.03	.17		
Communication	.36**	.05	.17	.24*		
Competition	12	20^	.04	14		
Maximizer	07	.11	.03	.01		
Self-Assurance	15	09	.07	01		
Significance	13	22*	01	12		
Woo	.31**	.14	.14	.39**		
Domain: Relationship Bu	uilding					
Adaptability	04	.19^	09	.10		
Connectedness	.09	.27**	.16	.23*		
Developer	.02	.17^	12	01		
Empathy	.16	.22*	.07	.13		
Harmony	00	.08	20^	23*		
Includer	.16	.14	.01	.20^		
Individualization	06	05	.04	02		
Positivity	.23*	.16	.13	.23*		
Relator	.06	.01	.09	04		
Domain: Strategic Think		.01	.07	.0 1		
Analytical	08	26**	01	26*		
Context	.07	.20^	.18	.06		
Futuristic	25**	21*	08	18^		
Ideation	.17	.07	.13	.17		
Input	.04	06	.10	02		
Intellection	20^	12	02	25*		
Learner	13	12 22*	.13	26**		
Strategic	.07	22 08	.13	.10		
			.14	.10		
Confidence Levels: ^=.90%; *=.95%; **=.99%						

• The skills reported within the Driving Cluster were evaluated for correlation with strengths, as indicated in the following table.

	REACH Profile Driving Skills				
OL II . F' I	Establishing	Evaluating		Guiding	
StrengthsFinder	clear	individual	Exercising control	team during change	
Domain/Strength Domain: Executing	expectations	performance	Coniroi	change	
Achiever	.16	.10	.15	.09	
Arranger	.01	.15	.04	.24**	
Belief	12	.10	.05	.09	
Consistency	12 25*	21*	.05 36**	.07 40**	
Deliberative	08	01	.05	15	
Discipline	.06	.02	.03 12	13 28**	
Focus	.15	.29**	.01	.10	
Responsibility	.10	.20*	.17^	.10	
Restorative	29**	.02	02	15	
Domain: Influencing		.02	02	13	
Activator	.03	05	.14	.06	
Command			.14		
	.08	.11		.15	
Communication	.06	03	01	.08	
Competition	18^	.04	18^	.01	
Maximizer	.12	04	03	.01	
Self-Assurance	07	.10	.09	.22*	
Significance	02	.20*	.08	.06	
Woo	02	04	06	.12	
Domain: Relationship		O Antente	O O skule	O (sheets	
Adaptability	28**	36**	30**	36**	
Connectedness	10	.11	04	01	
Developer	01	09	09	13	
Empathy	13	18^	19^	36**	
Harmony	18	17	32**	36**	
Includer	17^	11	13	09	
Individualization	.23*	.11	02	.15	
Positivity	.01	05	09	09	
Relator	.21*	.07	.04	.07	
Domain: Strategic Th	•				
Analytical	.04	.18^	.10	04	
Context	05	.22*	.12	.06	
Futuristic	13	09	.11	.15	
Ideation	.09	10	.14	.16	
Input	08	15	.05	08	
Intellection	01	10	.12	08	
Learner	07	01	.16	.12	
Strategic	.25*	14	.05	.22*	
Confidence Levels:	^=.90%; *=.95%;	**=.99%			

• The skills reported within the Advising Cluster were evaluated for correlation with strengths, as indicated in the following table.

	REACH Profile Advising Skills			
Classification I	Addressing	Aligning	Designing	Integrating
Strengths Finder	quality	resources	team	diverse
Domain/Strength	concerns	with needs	structure	perspectives
Domain: Executing	0.5	0.4*	02	1.4
Achiever	.05 .02	.24*	03	14
Arranger		.05	.15	01
Belief	01	.03	.14	06
Consistency	06	18^	27**	16
Deliberative	.17	.14	09	17
Discipline	.01	.12	08	05
Focus	09	.32**	13	.01
Responsibility	.22*	.23*	.17^	03
Restorative	09	08	31**	11
Domain: Influencing	1.0	104	00	0.7
Activator	10	19^	.00	.07
Command	.21*	.15	.14	.19^
Communication	.02	16	.06	.12
Competition	03	07	21*	12
Maximizer	10	06	.09	.03
Self-Assurance	.12	.16^	.19^	04
Significance	.16	.04	06	20*
Woo	00	17	02	.13
Domain: Relationship				
Adaptability	21*	21*	18^	04
Connectedness	12	18^	03	.00
Developer	03	11	06	10
Empathy	09	19^	13	11
Harmony	08	03	12	22*
Includer	14	20^	04	01
Individualization	.14	.11	.16^	.17^
Positivity	07	21*	10	.10
Relator	.07	.03	.17^	10
Domain: Strategic Thi	nking			
Analytical	.06	.35**	.11	01
Context	.10	08	.04	.12
Futuristic	.07	.17^	.06	.11
Ideation	.03	.02	.18^	.21*
Input	.08	02	14	.22*
Intellection	.05	.02	04	.14
Learner	07	01	04	.15
Strategic	.03	01	.14	.07
Confidence Levels: ^:	=.90%; *=.95%;	**=.99%		

 Based on findings reported in the preceding tables, there may be numerous associations between the skills measured by the REACH Profile and the strengths measured by the StrengthsFinder assessment. Specifically, 22 of the 34 strengths exhibited positive correlation (statistically significant at 95% confidence or higher) with one or more of the REACH Profile skills. With a relaxed threshold of 90% confidence or higher, 25 of the 34 strengths appear to have some association with one or more of the REACH Profile skills. In summary, within the StrengthsFinder domains there may be association between REACH Profile skills and:

- o 4 of the 9 strengths within the Executing domain
- o 6 of the 8 strengths within the Influencing domain
- o 9 of the 9 strengths within the Relationship Building domain
- o 6 of the 8 strengths within the Strategic Thinking domain
- Each of the four profiles reported by the REACH Profile appeared to be associated with certain strengths exhibited by participants. The following profiles were preferred at a higher rate than other profiles among participants indicating these top strengths (definitions are included from the 2013 StrengthsFinder Technical Report):

Counselor:

- Includer: "... accepting of others. They show awareness of those who feel left out and make an effort to include them."
- Belief: "... have certain core values that are unchanging. Out of those values emerges a defined purpose for their life."
- Harmony: "... look for consensus. They don't enjoy conflict; rather, they seek areas of agreement."

o Coach:

- Activator: "... can make things happen by turning thoughts into action. They are often impatient."
- Communication: "... generally find it easy to put their thoughts into words. They are good conversationalists and presenters."
- Woo: "... love the challenge of meeting new people and winning them over. They derive satisfaction from breaking the ice and making a connection with another person."

o Driver:

- Self-Assurance: "... feel confident in their ability to manage their own lives. They possess an inner compass that gives them confidence that their decisions are right."
- Futuristic: "... are inspired by the future and what could be. They inspire others with their visions for the future."
- Competition: "... measure their progress against the performance of others. They strive to win first place and revel in contests."

Advisor:

- Deliberative: "... are best described by the serious care they take in making decisions or choices. They anticipate the obstacles."
- Focus: "... can take a direction, follow through, and make the connections necessary to stay on track. They prioritize, then act."
- Consistency: "...are keenly aware of the need to treat people the same. They try to treat everyone with consistency by setting up clear rules and adhering to them."

The analysis reported herein contributes to construct validity evidence for the scales (styles, dimensions and skills) reported by the REACH Profile. In summary:

- The Relating Style and Achieving Style domains demonstrated association with the StrengthsFinder domains.
- The four REACH profiles (based on the interaction of the style domains) demonstrated association with several strengths. Specifically, participants exhibited certain profiles to a higher rate when they held particular strengths.
- Both Relating and Achieving dimensions exhibited association with the vast majority of strengths, with correlations in both directions (dimensions indicate a behavioral preference rather than a "higher is better" score).
- The REACH skills exhibited association with the vast majority of strengths.
- The REACH Quotient (RQ), an average of the 16 REACH skills, exhibited association with the Communication strength (r=.25, p<.05), the Winning Others Over (WOO) strength (r=.29, p<.01) and the Influencing domain (r=.21, p<.05).

Overall, these findings suggest that the styles, dimensions and skills conveyed within the REACH framework offer insights regarding particular talents. Users can be assured that the scales reported by the REACH Profile may share some of the same conclusions and implications revealed by the StrengthsFinder assessment. Finally, users can interpret REACH skills as potential sources of strengths that are aligned within the REACH framework.

Contact:

R. Douglas Waldo, DBA, SPHR, SHRM-SCP

Organizational Economist & Leadership Strategist

REACH Consulting Services

d.waldo@reachquotient.com

+1 941 896 2906

drdwaldo

Doug Waldo

Doug Waldo

reachquotient.com



